

IFPR Disclosure

For the Year Ended 31st March 2026

Daiwa Capital Markets Europe Limited



Contents

1	Overview	4
1.1	Background	4
1.2	Structure	4
1.3	Principal Activities	5
1.4	Basis of Disclosure - Application	5
2	Risk Management Objectives and Policies (MIFIDPRU 8.2)	6
2.1	Risk Management Framework	6
2.2	Committee Oversight	6
2.3	Three Lines of Defence	7
2.4	Risk Inventory	8
2.5	Risk Appetite Statement ("RAS")	8
2.6	Principal Risks	9
3	Governance Arrangements (MIFIDPRU 8.3)	13
3.2	Directorships	18
3.3	Approach to Diversity	19
4	Own Funds (MIFIDPRU 8.4)	21
4.1	Composition of Regulatory Own Funds	21
4.2	Reconciliation of Regulatory Own Funds to Balance Sheet in the Audited Financial Statements	22
4.3	Main features of own instruments issued by the firm	23
5	Own Funds Requirements (MIFIDPRU 8.5)	24
5.1	K-Factor Requirement and Fixed Overheads Requirement	24
5.2	Approach to assessing the adequacy of own funds	24
6	Remuneration Policy and Practices (MIFIDPRU 8.6)	26
6.1	DCME's Approach to Remuneration	26
6.2	Governance	26
6.3	Reward Principles and Philosophy	26
6.4	Material Risk Takers (MRTs)	27
6.5	Key Characteristics of the Reward Policies and Practices	27
6.6	Ex-Ante/Ex-Post Risk Adjustment Framework	28
6.7	Other Forms of Variable Remuneration	29
6.8	Deferrals and Payment in Instruments	30
6.9	Quantitative Disclosures	30
7	Investment Policy (MIFIDPRU 8.7)	32

Glossary of terms and abbreviations

ARC	Audit and Risk Committee
AT1	Additional Tier 1
BaFin	The Federal Financial Supervisory Authority
Board	DCME Board of Directors
CBB	Central Bank of Bahrain
CET1	Common Equity Tier 1
CEO	Chief Executive Officer
CFO	Chief Financial Officer
COS	Chief of Staff and Head of Sustainability
CRCO	Chief Risk and Compliance Officer
CRC	Compliance and Risk Committee
DCMD	Daiwa Capital Markets Deutschland GmbH
DCM	Debt Capital Markets
DCME	Daiwa Capital Markets Europe Limited
DIH	Daiwa International Holdings
DSCL	Daiwa Securities Co. Ltd.
DSGI	Daiwa Securities Group Inc.
ECM	Equity Capital Markets
ED&I	Equity, Diversity and Inclusion
EMEA	Europe Middle East and Africa
ExCo	Executive Committee
FCA	Financial Conduct Authority
FOR	Fixed Overhead Requirements
FY	Financial Year
GNED	Group Non-Executive Director
ICARA	Internal Capital Adequacy and Risk Assessment
ICB	International CB Market Making
IFPR	Investment Firms Prudential Regime
INED	Independent Non-Executive Director
KFR	K Factor Regime
K-ASA	K Factor required based on assets safeguarded and administered

K-AUM	K Factor required based on assets under management
K-CMG	K Factor required based on Clearing Margin Given
K-CMH	K Factor required based on Client Money Held
K-CON	K Factor required based on Concentration Risk
K-DTF	K Factor required based on Daily Trading Flow
K-NPR	K Factor required based on Net Position Risk
K-TCDD	K Factor required based on Trading Counterparty Default
MiFID	Markets in Financial Instruments Directive
MRT	Material Risk Taker
NED	Non-Executive Director
NomCo	Nomination Committee
Non-SNI	Non Small and Non Interconnected
OF	Own Funds
OFAR	Overall Financial Adequacy Rule
RAS	Risk Appetite Statement
RLF	Risk Limit Framework
RMF	Risk Management Framework
SM&CR	Senior Managers and Certification Regime
SMF	Senior Management Function
SME	Subject Matter Expert
SYSC	Senior Management Arrangements, Systems and Controls
T1	Tier 1
T2	Tier 2

1 Overview

1.1 Background

The Investment Firms Prudential Regime (“IFPR”) is the FCA’s prudential regime for MiFID investment firms which aims to streamline and simplify the prudential requirements for UK investment firms. The IFPR and its provisions apply to Daiwa Capital Markets Europe Limited (“DCME” or “the firm”) as an FCA authorised and regulated firm.


Public disclosure is an important part of the IFPR because it increases transparency and confidence in the market and gives stakeholders and market participants an insight into how the firm is run.

1.2 Structure

DCME is the wholly owned UK subsidiary of Daiwa International Holdings (“DIH”) which is a subsidiary of Daiwa Securities Group Inc. (“DSGI”), one of the largest brokerage and financial services groups in Japan. DCME’s head office is based in London and operates a branch and representative office network across the EMEA region. It works closely with other subsidiaries throughout the Daiwa group network, providing global services to clients across various countries.

As of 31st March 2026, DCME has:

- one subsidiary, Daiwa Capital Markets Deutschland GmbH (“DCMD”), which operates from Frankfurt and is regulated by the German regulator BaFin;
- one branch, DCME Bahrain, which is regulated locally by the Central Bank of Bahrain; and
- one representative office in Paris.



1.3 Principal Activities

DCME offers Japanese and Asian products for European and Japanese investors, which reflects the links with, and synergies available across the Daiwa Group. DCME businesses are organised along global product lines and comprise of the following operating functions:

- **Fixed Income:** Core focus on the trading and distribution of investment grade government, sovereign, supranational, agency and credit products, predominately denominated in US dollars and Japanese Yen.
- **Equities:** Core focus is on the provision of brokerage services for Japanese and Asian equities using the Group's access to the Tokyo Stock Exchange and other Asian exchanges.
- **International CB Market Making ("ICB"):** Core focus of the Convertible Bonds team is to make markets in Japanese and Asian convertible bonds.
- **Debt Capital Markets ("DCM")/Syndication:** DCM is primarily a marketing and co-ordination function to develop business with international as well as domestic borrowers in the Primary/New Issue market.
- **Equity Capital Markets ("ECM"), including Convertible Primary:** ECM facilitates transactions originated by the Daiwa Group including equity and equity linked products issued by Japanese corporates.

1.4 Basis of Disclosure - Application

This document has been prepared following the disclosure rules set out in MIFIDPRU 8, pertaining to the UK IFPR.

- **Firm Categorisation:** DCME is a non-SNI MIFIDPRU investment firm.
- **Scope:** The firm is required to disclose only on an individual entity basis (DCME solo).
- **Reference Date:** 31st March 2026, which is the firm's accounting reference date and financial year-end.
- **Frequency:** Disclosure is published annually alongside the Statutory Accounts, or more frequently, if the business undergoes a significant change.
- **Location:** The document is published on the firm's website: <https://www.uk.daiwacm.com/about-us/corporate-governance-regulatory>
- **Governance:** This document is prepared by the Finance Division with contributions from SMEs across the firm. The document is reviewed, challenged and approved by the Compliance and Risk Committee ("CRC"), Executive Committee ("ExCo"), Audit and Risk Committee ("ARC") and the Board of Directors ("Board"). This document is not subject to audit by the firm's external auditors.
- **Significant changes to the information disclosed:** Material changes when compared with previous disclosure periods are disclosed on relevant chapters as required (e.g. changes to the composition of the Board are disclosed chapter 3).

2 Risk Management Objectives and Policies (MIFIDPRU 8.2)

2.1 Risk Management Framework

DCME has an established Risk Management Framework (“RMF”), which is reviewed and updated annually and approved biennially by the Audit & Risk Committee (“ARC”) on behalf of the Board. The RMF sets out the firm’s approach in relation to risk management and is based on a three lines of defence model (see section 2.3).

2.1.1 Key principles of the RMF

A fundamental requirement for the effective operation of the RMF is the alignment and consistency between the individual components of the RMF to ensure the consistent setting of risk parameters for the organisation, a coherent flow of risk information throughout the firm, and a common understanding of risk processes, which are embedded across DCME:

- Clear governance and accountabilities;
- Aligned business and risk planning;
- Risk aware corporate culture;
- Forward looking risk management methodologies and robust processes; and
- Effective risk reporting and escalation mechanisms.

2.2 Committee Oversight

The Board of Directors has ultimate responsibility for the effectiveness of the firm’s risk management processes. In order to meet its responsibilities, the Board has delegated the day-to-day running of the firm to the Chief Executive Officer (“CEO”). The CEO has, in turn, delegated certain responsibilities to senior management within the organisation in accordance with the requirements under SM&CR.

The Board has established independent sub-committees to assist it with detailed review/analysis of key topics, including the oversight of audit, risk and regulatory matters (Audit & Risk Committee).

The Executive Committee (“ExCo”) has the objective of assisting the CEO with the task of executing the Board’s strategy and providing continuous oversight of the key business areas in the context of people, approved budgets, business plans and risk appetite.

Key committees supporting effective risk management of the firm, including the committee fulfilling the Risk Committee requirement under MIFIDPRU, are outlined below. Additional information can be found in sections 3.1.2 and 3.1.3.



2.2.1 Audit and Risk Committee (“ARC”)

The ARC is directly accountable to the Board and has delegated responsibility for oversight of high-level risk management. It is responsible for reviewing key aspects of DCME’s RMF and recommending to the Board for approval, including inter alia the firm’s Risk Appetite Statement, ICARA, key risk policies, principles and methodologies.

The ARC receives updates in relation to material risks and associated harms as well as developments. In addition, senior representatives of the Risk Management Division and the Finance Division regularly attend the ARC to ensure full coverage and “line of sight” of all material risk types.

2.2.2 Compliance and Risk Committee (“CRC”)

The CRC meets on a quarterly basis and is chaired by the Chief Risk and Compliance Officer (“CRCO”). The CRC is directly accountable to the ExCo and has executive responsibility for oversight and advice to the ARC on risk and compliance matters.

2.3 Three Lines of Defence

DCME’s RMF is based upon a three lines of defence model. The implementation of the three lines of defence is supported by the firm’s overall corporate governance arrangements, which establish a clear organisational structure and allocation of responsibilities for risk management.

The three lines of defence model ensures clear delineation of responsibilities between day-to-day operations, independent monitoring and oversight, and assurance over the risk management framework and is designed in accordance with Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (“COSO”)/ The Institute of Internal Auditors (“IIA”) guidelines.

- **First line of defence** – this refers to those roles in the firm whose activities generate risks, whether financial or non-financial. This describes the controls the organisation has in place to deal with day-to-day business. The controls are owned by the “front-line” business divisions. who own the processes and risks and are responsible for ensuring that appropriate controls are in place.
- **Second line of defence** – this refers to the risk type controller roles in the firm who facilitate the implementation of a sound risk management framework throughout the organisation. The 2LoD provides expertise, support and monitoring for their respective risk type, and independently oversees and challenges the risk taking and risk management activities of the 1LoD. These functions report independently of the first line of defence and review the management of risk in relation to the particular risk appetite of the business, as determined by the Board.

- **Third line of defence** – this refers to the Internal Audit function which is accountable for providing independent and objective assurance on the adequacy of the design and effectiveness of the systems of internal control and risk management. Internal Audit provides the independent assurance to the Audit and Risk Committee, in relation to the effectiveness of the first and second lines of defence.

2.4 Risk Inventory

DCME has a formally documented Risk Inventory, which is established based on the population of risks implied in pursuing the activities set out in the firm’s business plan. All risks identified in the Risk Inventory are assigned an accountable Senior Management Function (“SMF”) that is responsible for establishing appropriate processes and methodologies for identifying, assessing, monitoring and communicating risks. The Risk Inventory also captures, for each material risk type, cross-reference to the firm’s Risk Appetite Statement and ICARA harms and details the functions within DCME with responsibility for each risk type. Each material risk identified in the Risk Inventory is mapped to potential ICARA harms (to clients, markets and the firm) and informs the assessment of own funds and liquid asset adequacy under the Overall Financial Adequacy Rule.

The Risk Inventory is reviewed and approved by ARC and the Board at least on an annual basis.

2.5 Risk Appetite Statement (“RAS”)

As a core component of RMF, the firm has established a robust RAS, and related processes for monitoring/ensuring compliance with the RAS, aligned to the Risk Inventory. A clear statement of the firm’s risk appetite sets boundaries to guide business activity and behaviours, and provides a basis for embedding a risk management culture throughout the organisation (i.e. integrating risk into day-to-day activities and decision-making).

The Board is responsible for setting and promoting the firm’s risk appetite. It is reviewed and updated by the Board on a regular (at least annual) basis.

2.5.1 Management of Risk Appetite

Formal reporting of exposures against RAS measures is performed on a monthly basis via the RAS dashboard and CRCO Report. This includes a narrative explanation of any breaches of RAS measures and proposed or implemented corrective actions. Breaches are reviewed by the CRC and escalated to ExCo, ARC and the Board where required.

2.5.2 Financial Risks

As part of the firm’s overall RMF, executive management has established a Financial Risk Framework and a framework of risk limits, which are designed to manage key risks within the parameters set out in the RAS.

2.5.3 Non-Financial Risks

Breaches of policies and procedures and other operational risk related issues are covered by the Operational and Reputational Risk Management Framework. Non-Financial risks covered are specified in the DCME's Operational Risk Taxonomy, a subset of the firm's Risk Inventory and limits are designed to manage key risks within the parameters set out in the RAS.

2.6 Principal Risks

To ensure the effective day-to-day monitoring and controlling of risk within DCME, the firm has established processes for identifying, assessing, treating, monitoring and communicating risks which ensure that material risks and associated risk concentrations can be identified, assessed, treated, monitored and communicated.

Risks are assessed both individually and on a consolidated basis including assessment of material risk concentrations. The approaches to identifying, assessing, treating, monitoring and communicating individual risk types are set out in greater detail in risk-specific policy and procedural documentation.

The principal types of risks to which the firm is exposed are detailed below:

2.6.1 Operational Risk

Operational risk is the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people and systems or from external events. The definition includes legal risk but excludes reputational and strategic risk.

An independent Operational Risk Management ("ORM") function within the Enterprise Risk Management department of the Risk and Compliance Division is responsible for establishing, and ensuring effective maintenance of, the framework within which operational risk is managed and for its consistent application across the firm.

DCME's operational risk framework incorporates the following group-wide processes for the identification, measurement, monitoring, mitigation and reporting of operational risks:

- Monthly monitoring and reporting of Key Risk Indicators ("KRIs") which are established to monitor the firm's key operational risks and identify potential issues at an early stage;
- Performance of risk and control self-assessments for assessing possible effects of potential risk sources and the effectiveness of existing controls for reducing risks. Where significant residual risks are identified, mitigation plans are defined where possible and implementation monitored by ORM;
- Capturing, assessing and reporting of operational risk incidents, including loss events;
- Focussed risk assessments of specific functions or processes in conjunction with the affected specialist units; and

- Co-ordination of the new product approval process, to ensure identification of risks which may be associated with new products or business activities and the establishment of appropriate mitigating controls.

ORM presents a summary of the firm's key operational risks, monitoring activities and operational risk incidents to the CRC, which escalates as appropriate to ExCo, ARC, and the Board.

2.6.2 Credit Risk

Credit risk is defined as the potential financial loss arising from a trading counterparty or issuer of financial instruments failing to meet its financial obligations to DCME due to either a default or deterioration in credit quality. The key considerations of credit risk within DCME are:

- The default of trading counterparties before the settlement of transactions (settlement risk), which exposes the firm to potential losses arising from cost of liquidation and replacement cost risks;
- Nostro bank balances, which are deposited in treasury accounts at acceptable banking entities;
- Concentration risk, which calculates the additional risk arising from a concentration of counterparties within a particular group, sector or country; and
- Specific and general wrong-way risk. DCME seeks to minimise correlated exposures which can result in wrong-way risk.

The Financial Risk department, within the Risk and Compliance Division, is an independent second line of defence function that is responsible for the day-to-day oversight and implementation of the credit risk elements of the Financial Risk Framework specifically the identification, assessment, management, monitoring and reporting of credit risk across DCME and its subsidiaries.

As prescribed in the framework, the Financial Risk department performs credit reviews, which include initial and ongoing analysis of DCME's counterparties and critical vendors. The department sets notional trading limits at individual counterparty (legal entity) level and for groups of connected counterparties consistent with the firm's risk appetite statement.

2.6.1 Liquidity & Funding Risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that DCME, although solvent, either does not have sufficient financial resources available to enable it to meet its obligations as they fall due, or can secure such resources only at excessive cost, leading to an inability to support its business activity and meet liquidity regulatory and internal requirements.

Funding risk is the risk that a firm cannot easily obtain (re-)financing in the market or at an unexpected excessive cost, leading to an inability to support its business activity.

In considering DCME's business plans, the Board will review the firm's projected funding and liquidity plan over a three-year horizon to ensure that the firm has access to adequate and appropriate financial resources to support those plans.

The Board sets the risk appetite tolerance in relation to funding and liquidity risks and (via the CEO) delegates certain responsibility for operational oversight and management of risk and treasury matters to the firm's CRC. This senior executive-level committee is responsible for overseeing the framework for the management and control of liquidity risk, including providing feedback to the Board to allow it to discharge its obligations.

The Financial Risk department, within the Risk and Compliance Division, undertakes daily reporting of the firm's funding and liquidity position. The department is tasked with the overall responsibility for establishing and maintaining DCME's liquidity risk approach, which is integrated in the broader Financial Risk Framework, and the day-to-day responsibility for monitoring adherence to the firm's liquidity risk appetite.

A series of controls and limits are in place around the size and composition of the liquid assets, liquidity reserves and funding utilisation. Measurement is against both internal as well as external regulatory requirements.

2.6.2 Other Risks

In pursuing its business strategy, the firm is exposed to a variety of other risks. Of these other risks, business, group and reputational risk are assessed as material. As DCME trades on a matched principal brokerage model with its parent DSCL, no trading inventory is held on DCME's balance sheet and hence market risk is not deemed a material risk for the firm. Similarly, issuer risk is deemed immaterial because of this model.

The annual business planning process typically covers a three-year cycle and as part of it, arising risks are identified and integrated into the overall risk management framework.

Operating as part of the Daiwa Group brings its own risks. The relationships and financial stability of other entities in the same group or the group as a whole may impact the firm. Such scenarios are typically embedded within the operations of the firm and taken into consideration through alignment with Group strategy and limits frameworks. As such they are assessed and managed within the affected risk areas.

The firm considers that reputational damage is most likely to arise from matters of poor conduct and actively promotes a high standard of behaviour through training and a code of conduct.



The strengthening of the overall risk management process also involves the identification, understanding and monitoring of emerging risks, i.e. risks characterised by components that are partly unknown but considered significant, even though their effects are uncertain and cannot yet be fully integrated into the more consolidated risk management frameworks.

The identification of these types of risks derives primarily from the continuous analysis of the external environment and the main findings gathered by the risk management function during the identification and assessment processes but also involves comparison with peers and with market best practices, as well as with the firm's other control/business functions.

3 Governance Arrangements (MIFIDPRU 8.3)

3.1.1 Governance Structure

The management body of DCME is constituted by a Board of Directors (the “Board”) which has ultimate responsibility for the overall management of the firm. This includes management of the DCME group of companies.

The Board is also responsible for establishing and monitoring the effectiveness of the firm’s corporate governance framework, and approving the firm’s ‘Corporate Governance Policy’, the firm’s strategic direction and its risk appetite.

The Board has a duty to act in accordance with its powers and directors must:

- Act in accordance with the company’s constitution;
- Act in accordance with the statutory duties contained in the Companies Act 2026 (section 171 to 177); and
- Only exercise powers for the purposes for which they are conferred.

In order to meet its responsibilities, the Board has delegated the day-to-day running of the firm to the CEO. The CEO has, in turn, delegated certain responsibilities to senior management within the organisation. The segregation of duties allocated from the CEO to senior management role holders is documented and recorded in accordance with the provisions of the Senior Managers and Certification Regime (“SM&CR”), this includes (i) DCME’s Management Responsibilities Map, (ii) Statements of Responsibilities, (iii) Senior Management Function (“SMF”) reasonable steps documents, and (iv) delegation letters.

The CEO has established the ExCo, with a primary objective to 'assist the CEO in the performance of their duties within the bounds of their authority, particularly in relation to the strategy of the firm'.

Conflicts of Interest


No director should put themselves in a position where their interests conflict, or may be perceived to conflict, with those of DCME. Current and prospective directors should notify the Company Secretary of any potential conflicts as a result of other directorships or shareholdings they may hold. Directors are also required to notify the other directors of any potential or existing transactional conflicts and may do so via the Company Secretary. Conflicts will be recorded in the Conflicts Register.

Directors should not accept a benefit from a third party conferred by reason of (i) being a director, or (ii) doing (or not doing anything as a director).



3.1.2 Committee Structure

The diagram below shows the committee structure of the firm and there are a number of working groups which support these committees:



3.1.3 Overview of Board and Committees Roles and Responsibilities

The firm is a significant SYSC firm as defined by the FCA’s Handbook SYSC1.5.1 and, therefore, complies with the following provisions:

- Limitations in the number of directorships (SYSC 4.3A.6R);
- Nomination Committee (“NomCo”) (SYSC 4.3A.8R); and
- Risk Committee (SYSC 7.1.18R and SYSC 7.1.18AAR).

In addition, DCME has assessed the provisions contained within MIFIDPRU 7.1.4R, which relate to the requirements of establishing Risk, Remuneration and Nomination Committees, and concluded that these apply to the firm and these committees follow provisions contained within MIFIDPRU 7.3.

The Terms of Reference of the Board and Board Sub-Committees set out the specific membership requirements, responsibilities, powers and mandates.

Board of Directors

The Board has delegated responsibility from the shareholder for the management of DCME, including its branch, subsidiary and representative office. It is responsible to the Shareholder for creating and delivering sustainable shareholder value through the management of DCME’s business.

The Board has responsibility for approving, and periodically reviewing, DCME's strategic objectives. It is also responsible for ensuring senior management has an effective system of internal controls, all of which should be proportionate to the nature, scale and complexity of DCME's business. The Board, in order to be effective, should demonstrate ethical leadership and promote DCME's collective purpose, values and culture. The Board should lead by example and ensure that good standards of behaviour are evident across all levels of the organisation.

The Board has delegated the day-to-day running of the organisation to the CEO, who has in turn delegated certain responsibilities to senior management within the organisation.

Audit and Risk Committee ("ARC")

All members of the ARC are members of the Board who do not perform any executive function in the firm. The Chair of the ARC is an Independent Non-Executive Director ("INED") who is a member of the Board of Directors.

ARC members are deemed to have the appropriate knowledge, skills and expertise to fully understand, manage and monitor the risk strategy and the risk appetite of the firm. The Chair of the ARC was approved by the FCA to carry out the role of ARC Chair (SMF 10 and SMF11) and the remaining members have the requisite skill to carry out their duties as identified via the Board skills matrix.

The ARC is directly accountable to the Board and has non-executive responsibility for monitoring and reviewing the adequacy of DCME's financial, risk management and other internal control systems. The ARC has delegated authority from the Board to carry out these responsibilities and to oversee executive management of the specific topics.

The ARC has oversight of the accounting policies, financial budget/plan numbers, the integrity of its financial statements, and external reporting responsibilities, and oversees the DCME relationship with its external auditors. It advises the Board on significant high-level risk exposure and resource allocation through reviewing the current and future risk strategy, Risk Management Framework, Risk Inventory and Risk Appetite Statement.

DCME meets the requirement to establish a Risk Committee through its ARC. The ARC performs the functions of a risk committee in accordance with MIFIDPRU 7.3.1R.

Remuneration Committee

All members of the Remuneration Committee are members of the Board who do not perform any executive function in the firm. The Chair of the Committee is an INED who is a member of the Board of Directors.



The Committee has oversight of the design and operation of remuneration policies, practices and processes across DCME to ensure that these are operated in a way that promotes effective risk management and does not encourage risk taking that exceeds the stated risk appetite and framework of DCME. These policies are also aligned to DCME's strategy and objectives, corporate culture, long-term values interests, including those of its shareholder.

More detail on the firm's remuneration policies and practices can be found in Chapter 6.

Nomination Committee ("NomCo")

All members of the NomCo are members of the Board who do not perform any executive function in the firm. The Chair of the NomCo is also the Chair of the Board.

The role of the NomCo is to develop and maintain a formal, rigorous and transparent procedure for making recommendations on appointments and reappointments of members of the Board and SMFs.

Executive Committee ("ExCo")

The Board delegates responsibility for the day-to-day running of the firm and development of strategy to the CEO. The committee ExCo is responsible for assisting the CEO in the performance of their duties, particularly in relation to the following:

- The development of the firm's strategy (for approval by the Board), having regard to the interests of its clients, employees, Shareholder and other key stakeholders;
- The execution and implementation of the approved strategy;
- The development and implementation of financial and operational plans, and budgets to execute the strategy; and
- The co-ordination and co-operation between different parts of the business to support execution of the strategy and business plans.

The Executive Committee is also responsible for assisting the CEO in relation to the following:

- The development and implementation of policies and procedures;
- The monitoring of financial and operational performance;
- The assessment and control of risk; and
- The prioritisation and allocation of resources in relation to the above.

3.1.4 Additional Governance Arrangements

The firm seeks regulatory approval prior to any appointment of a director who holds a SMF designation under SM&CR.

The firm has systems in place to monitor the reputation, knowledge, skills and experience of its management body. The firm may utilise as required the expertise of external recruitment agencies when carrying out any recruitment activities in relation to the composition of the Board.

A robust recruitment process is in place which requires the NomCo to undertake a diligent, in-depth review of the pool of candidates and recommends its choice of candidate(s) (considering the firm's diversity requirements) who meet the respective criteria to the Board and the firm's shareholder for consideration, and approval.

The initial on-boarding process for incoming directors is comprised of:

- A robust induction plan;
- A skills gaps analysis; and
- A tailored training and development plan for the incoming director

The Company Secretary schedules an annual training plan for the Board as a collective to address any knowledge gaps identified.

The Company Secretarial team, in conjunction with the Chair of the Board, also undertakes a periodic effectiveness review of the Board and its Committees, and facilitates an annual review of the performance of the directors on an individual basis. A Board Performance Review is also conducted by an external independent source at regular intervals, generally every three years.

3.2 Directorships

The following directors have held office in executive and non-executive functions throughout the financial year (other than where indicated) and to the date of publication of the said disclosure:

Function	Senior Management Function ("SMF")	Allocation (Name)	Group Directorships	Other Directorships in scope of MIFIDPRU 8.3.1R(2)
Supervisory	SMF9 Chair of the Board SMF13 Chair of Nomination Committee	Maria Bentley (Chair)	N/A	2
	SMF10 Chair of the Risk Committee SMF11 Chair of the Audit Committee SMF14 Senior Independent Director	Ewa Kerin (Senior INED)	N/A	N/A
	SMF12 Chair of the Remuneration Committee	Jim Gollan (INED)	N/A	1
	N/A	Naoki Suzuki (Group NED)	8	N/A
Management	SMF1 Chief Executive Function SMF3 Executive Director	Megan McDonald (CEO)	N/A	N/A
	SMF3 Executive Director	Manabu Takahashi* (President, Senior Japanese Delegate)	N/A	N/A

As of 31st March 2026, the FCA has not granted a modification or waiver of SYSC 4.3A.6R (1) (a) or (b) in order to allow a member of the management body to hold additional directorships.

- Manabu Takahashi (President, Senior Japanese Delegate) was appointed as a Group Executive Director on 19th December 2025.

3.3 Approach to Diversity

3.3.1 Overview

Equity, Diversity and Inclusion (“ED&I”) are important to the Board’s approach to effective governance, sound decision-making and a healthy organisational culture. The Board believes that an inclusive and diverse Board and workforce support constructive challenge, broaden perspectives and contribute to the long-term sustainability of the firm.

The Board remains committed to attracting and retaining high-quality talent, fostering an equitable and inclusive culture, and creating an environment in which colleagues can contribute fully and perform at their best. This remains an important part of how the firm strengthens culture, supports good conduct and delivers against its strategic objectives.

A key priority within the firm’s ED&I strategy is improving the representation of women in senior roles, particularly at Board and Executive Committee level. The firm has continued to make progress in this area. As at 31st March 2026, women represented 25% of the Executive Committee and 50% of the Board. DCME remains a signatory to the Women in Finance Charter (“WIFC”), supporting the wider ambition to improve gender balance in senior roles across financial services. The firm also publishes its gender pay gap reports annually in line with the Equality Act 2010 (Gender Pay Gap Information) Regulations 2017, and has seen meaningful improvement over the last five years.

During the year, the firm continued to strengthen the practices that support progress against its ED&I objectives. This included investment in a more direct sourcing and attraction approach to talent acquisition, providing greater opportunity to improve the diversity of longlists and shortlists for recruitment. The firm also embedded WIFC targets into Board culture reporting and into its balanced scorecard approach to compensation, strengthening accountability and reinforcing the link between progress on inclusion and senior leadership outcomes.

Improving diversity remains an important objective of the Board. DCME continues to support ED&I through a range of policies and practices, including flexible working and enhanced shared parental, carers’, adoption, maternity and paternity leave. This year we enhanced our Neonatal support policy. The People & Culture resourcing strategy also supports the firm’s commitment in this area. Taken together, these arrangements are intended to promote fairness, inclusion and an environment free from harassment and discrimination.



3.3.2 Board recruitment and diversity

The approach to Board recruitment, which is subject to Board approval, is designed to secure an appropriate balance of skills, experience and perspective. It takes account of a broad range of criteria, including technical capability, relevant knowledge, behavioural competencies and professional background. In doing so, the Board places particular emphasis on ensuring that its composition reflects diversity in its broadest sense. Accordingly, the recruitment process considers a range of characteristics, including age, ethnicity, gender and socio-economic background.

The Nomination Committee plays a central role in the recruitment process. It is responsible for identifying and recommending candidates for Board vacancies, taking into account the current composition of the Board and any gaps in experience, knowledge, skills and diversity of perspective. The ED&I Policy supports the firm's approach to maintaining a Board composition that is balanced and effective in terms of knowledge, experience, capability and diversity.

The Nomination Committee is responsible for making recommendations for appointments of new Directors and for evaluating Board directors which, in turn, informs the Board succession planning. As part of its duties, the Nomination Committee will periodically assess the structure, size and composition of the Board, including diversity, and make recommendations to the Board regarding any changes.

3.3.3 Targets and Status

Our Board continues to be well above our target of 30% women. We have been a proud signatory of the WIFC since 2018 and have committed to the aspirational targets of 40% representation of women across the whole firm and 30% representation of women in senior roles by 31st August 2026. When we signed the charter in 2018, 19% of our senior roles were held by women. 25% of our senior roles are held by women as at 31st March 2026. We continue to be ambitious and, alongside our market leading Board gender diversity, we continue to make significant promotions to Managing Director and in our Front Office.



4 Own Funds (MIFIDPRU 8.4)

4.1 Composition of Regulatory Own Funds

The firm's own funds resources are exclusively CET1 capital. As of 31st March 2026 and during the year, the firm complied with all externally imposed own funds requirements in accordance with the IFPR. Tier 1 capital consisted of fully issued ordinary shares, satisfying all the criteria for a Tier 1 instrument as outlined in IFPR, and audited reserves. The firm has no Additional Tier 1 or Tier 2 capital instruments in issue during the period.

The following tables are presented in accordance with the disclosure templates set out in MIFIDPRU 8 Annex 1R.

Table OF1 - Composition of regulatory own funds

	Item	Amount (GBP thousands)	Source based on reference numbers/letters of the balance sheet in the audited financial statements
1	OWN FUNDS	425,556	Note 9.25
2	TIER 1 CAPITAL	425,556	Note 9.25
3	COMMON EQUITY TIER 1 CAPITAL	425,556	Note 9.25
4	Fully paid up capital instruments	732,121	Note 8
5	Share premium		
6	Retained earnings	(287,873)	Note 8
7	Accumulated other comprehensive income	98	Note 8
8	Other reserves	13,908	Note 8
9	Adjustments to CET1 due to prudential filters		
10	Other funds		
11	(-)-TOTAL DEDUCTIONS FROM COMMON EQUITY TIER 1	(32,698)	Note 9.25
19	CET1: Other capital elements, deductions and adjustments		
20	ADDITIONAL TIER 1 CAPITAL	0	
21	Fully paid up, directly issued capital instruments		
22	Share premium		
23	(-) TOTAL DEDUCTIONS FROM ADDITIONAL TIER 1		
24	Additional Tier 1: Other capital elements, deductions and adjustments		
25	TIER 2 CAPITAL	0	
26	Fully paid up, directly issued capital instruments		
27	Share premium		
28	(-) TOTAL DEDUCTIONS FROM TIER 2		
29	Tier 2: Other capital elements, deductions and adjustments		

4.2 Reconciliation of Regulatory Own Funds to Balance Sheet in the Audited Financial Statements

The table below shows a reconciliation with own funds in the balance sheet where assets and liabilities have been broken down by asset and liabilities classes respectively. The information on the table below reflects the balance sheet in the audited financial statements.

Table OF2 - Reconciliation of regulatory own funds to balance sheet in the audited financial statements

Amount (GBP thousands)		a	b	c
		Balance sheet as in published/audited financial statements	Under regulatory scope of consolidation	Cross-reference to template OF1
		As at period end	As at period end	
Assets - Breakdown by asset classes according to the balance sheet in the audited financial statements				
1	Intangible assets	1,780		
2	Tangible assets	5,294		
3	Investments in subsidiary undertakings	30,918		
4	Debtors	134,863		
5	Financial assets held for trading	217,831		
6	Available for sale investments	329,338		
7	Other investments	10,644		
8	Cash at bank and in hand	15,175		
9	Total Assets	745,843		
Liabilities - Breakdown by liability classes according to the balance sheet in the audited financial statements				
1	Creditors: amounts falling due within one year	(61,433)		
2	Financial liabilities held for trading	(218,999)		
3	Provisions for liabilities	(915)		
4	Creditors: amounts falling due after more than one year	(2,242)		
5	Provision for end of building lease costs	(4,000)		
6	Total Liabilities	(287,589)		
Shareholders' Equity				
1	Called-up share capital	732,121		Item 4
2	Reserves	(273,867)		Item 6 & 8
3	Total Shareholders' equity	458,254		Item 1 - item 9 - item 11

4.3 Main features of own instruments issued by the firm

The table below provides information on the CET1, AT1 and Tier 2 instruments issued by the firm. There were no changes since last financial year.

Table OF3 - Main features of own instruments issued by the firm

Issuer	Daiwa Capital Markets Europe Limited
Governing law(s) of the instrument	UK
<i>Regulatory treatment</i>	
Regulatory Classification	Common Equity Tier 1
Eligible at solo/(sub-)consolidated/ solo&(sub-)consolidated	Solo
Instrument type (types to be specified by each jurisdiction)	Ordinary shares
Amount recognised in Annual Financial Statements	GBP 732m
Nominal amount of instrument	GBP 732m
Issue price	GBP 1
Redemption price	GBP 1
Accounting classification	Shareholders' equity
Original date of issuance	Mar 2008: GBP109m Jun 2009: GBP98m Nov 2010: GBP125m Feb 2011: GBP50m Mar 2011: GBP500m Sep 2012: GBP(150m) (reduction)
Perpetual or dated	Perpetual
Original maturity date	No maturity
Issuer call subject to prior supervisory approval	No
<i>Coupons / dividends</i>	
Fixed or floating dividend/coupon	Floating
Existence of a dividend stopper	No
Fully discretionary, partially discretionary or mandatory (in terms of timing)	Fully discretionary
Fully discretionary, partially discretionary or mandatory (in terms of amount)	Fully discretionary
Existence of step up or other incentive to redeem	No
Non-cumulative or cumulative	Non-cumulative
Convertible or non-convertible	Non-convertible
Non-compliant transitioned features	No

5 Own Funds Requirements (MIFIDPRU 8.5)

5.1 K-Factor Requirement and Fixed Overheads Requirement

The table below shows the K-factor requirement (“KFR”), broken down into three groupings and the amount of fixed overheads requirement (“FOR”).


Item - Amount (GBP thousands)		
K-Factor	∑ K-AUM, K-CMH and K-ASA	0
	∑ K-DTF and K-COH	1,401
	∑ K-NPR, K-CMG, K-TCD and K-CON	1,129
	TOTAL KFR	2,530
Fixed Overheads Requirement (“FOR”)		22,773

5.2 Approach to assessing the adequacy of own funds

In accordance with the Overall Financial Adequacy Rule (“OFAR”) set out in MIFIDPRU 7.4.7R, the firm must at all times, hold financial resources (i.e. own funds and liquid assets) which are adequate, both as to their amount and their quality, to ensure that:

- the firm is able to remain financially viable throughout the economic cycle, with the ability to address any material potential harm that may result from its ongoing activities; and
- the firm’s business can be wound down in an orderly manner, minimising harm to consumers or to other market participants.

The firm undertakes its own funds and liquid asset assessments under the ICARA process in line with MIFIDPRU 7.5 to 7.7. The diagram below shows the process that the firm has undertaken to determine its Own Funds Threshold Requirement (“OFTR”) and Liquid Assets Threshold Requirement (“LATR”).



The ICARA is an ongoing process that continuously ensures the adequacy of the firm's financial resources. This process is supported by an effective and continuous assessment of the firm's strategy, business model planning and all associated regulated and unregulated activities, covering expected and stressed scenarios, from both an ongoing and wind-down perspective. Furthermore, DCME conducts reverse stress testing for identifying a range of scenarios that could result in the business model becoming unviable.

The process has been embedded within the firm's RMF. DCME maintains a formally documented Risk Inventory, as part of the RMF, which is established based upon the firm's business plan and approved by the Board. Each risk within the Risk Inventory is cross-referenced to possible ICARA harms (client, market and firm) and assessed to determine its materiality to DCME. It also details the functions within DCME with responsibility for each risk type at the first, second and third lines of defence.

The firm's wind-down plan, which is part of the ICARA process and it is based on a stress scenario, aims to enable DCME to cease its regulated activities and achieve cancellation of its permission with minimal harm to its clients, counterparties or the wider markets under a range of potential scenarios. Wind-down planning requires the setting of triggers for when the firm must begin the process of leaving the market whilst minimising the harm caused on exit. The wind-down plan also sets out the time horizon identification, detailed action plan for each function within the firm, communications plan, and estimated financial and non-financial resources to ensure an orderly wind down.

The firm reviews the adequacy of its ICARA process at least once a year or in case of any material change in the firm's business or operating model.

For the year ended 31st March 2026, the firm has complied with the OFAR at all times:

- Own Funds: The firm did not have to notify the FCA under MIFIDPRU 7.6.11 during the period.
- Liquid Assets: The firm did not have to notify the FCA under MIFIDPRU 7.7.14 during the period.

6 Remuneration Policy and Practices (MIFIDPRU 8.6)

6.1 DCME's Approach to Remuneration

The disclosure explains how the firm has complied with the regulatory requirements under the UK implementation of the IFPR, in particular the MIFIDPRU Remuneration Code (SYSC 19G, "The Code"). Per SYSC 19G.1.1R, DCME is identified as a non-SNI (small, non-interconnected) firm. All employees are eligible for incentive awards which promote and reward good financial and non-financial performance, as well as high standards of personal and professional conduct. Any awards are determined at the discretion of Senior Management and approved by the Committee.

6.2 Governance

DCME has a Remuneration Committee ("the Committee"), the authority of which is delegated by the Board, to oversee the design and implementation of remuneration policies and practices within DCME in accordance with regulatory requirements, and to ensure that they are subject to periodic review. The Committee is chaired by an INED and its membership comprises Non-Executive Directors, with a majority being independent; it may also include GNEDs who represent DCME's shareholder, Daiwa Securities Group Incorporated ("DSGI", "the Parent"). The SMF12 (Chair of the Remuneration Committee) is responsible for the effective implementation of DCME's reward policies and practices; the Head of People & Culture ("HPC") takes day-to-day responsibility for drafting and annual review of these policies, working with the CRCO to ensure alignment with DCME's risk management strategy and framework. Where changes to incentive arrangements are proposed (including the introduction, amendment or closure of schemes), DCME notifies relevant Global Heads and Global HR and, where applicable, seeks shareholder input through the Overseas Compensation Committee ("OCC") in accordance with Group governance. Senior executives (for example the Chief of Staff and Head of Sustainability, the HPC and the CRCO) may be invited to attend Committee meetings from time to time. The Committee meets at least four times a year in line with its Terms of Reference and is empowered to seek external advice to support the development and review of the firm's remuneration policies and practices.

6.3 Reward Principles and Philosophy

DCME has established a Reward Policy, the core principles of which state that DCME commits itself to:

- Reward all employees fairly, regardless of job function, race, religion, colour, national origin, sex, sexual orientation, marital status, pregnancy, disability or age.
- Operate competitive remuneration policies to attract, retain and motivate an appropriate workforce for the firm.

- Ensure that its reward practices encourage high standards of personal and professional conduct, support sound risk management and do not encourage risk taking that exceeds firm's tolerated level of risk and are aligned with regulatory requirements.
- Align remuneration to financial and non-financial performance, the business strategy, objectives, values, corporate culture and long-term interests of the firm.
- Not allow any unfair or unjust practices that impact on pay.
- Not award remuneration using vehicles or methods which attempt to avoid application of the MIFIDPRU Remuneration Code.

All permanent and fixed-term employees are eligible to participate in the annual incentive scheme. When determining appropriate levels of variable compensation, the Committee considers the firm's performance in line with the financial and non-financial performance of each individual business unit. Expatriate employees employed by the Parent on secondment to DCME are subject to the Parent's Bonus Plan, however the Code is applied to their remuneration as appropriate. Expatriate variable remuneration is formulaically linked to bi-annual appraisals and derived from a Group-wide fund which is linked to financial performance.

6.4 Material Risk Takers (MRTs)

The firm undertakes regular analysis to identify employees whose professional activities may have a material impact on the firm's risk profile, known as MRTs, as required by SYSC 19G.5 of the Code. Members of the Board and Executive Committee, as well as other members of senior leadership within revenue-generating functions and Control Functions have been identified using the criteria set out in SYSC 19G.5. The firm also considers other criteria which may identify additional employees as MRTs. Overall, there were 30 MRTs identified in DCME for the 2025/26 financial year.

The identification of MRTs is conducted by the Reward Team with input from the Compliance and Company Secretariat Teams and approved by the CRCO. The assessment process and register of MRTs is approved by the Committee as required. MRTs are made aware of their status upon their identification and are asked to acknowledge their status annually. MRTs are also requested to confirm that they will not undertake personal hedging strategies in respect of unvested bonus awards. Employees identified as MRTs under the Code are subject to specific requirements on the structure of their variable remuneration. Where applicable, the firm applies exemptions to MRTs in accordance with local relevant regulation (e.g., "de minimis").

6.5 Key Characteristics of the Reward Policies and Practices

DCME operates both fixed and variable remuneration structures. Fixed remuneration is any remuneration that represents an employee's professional experience and organisational responsibility, and is pre-determined, not revocable, not discretionary and not dependent on



performance. “Fixed pay” would therefore include salary, allowances, pension and benefits. Variable remuneration is any remuneration that is dependent on performance. Examples of variable remuneration include but are not limited to annual bonuses, guaranteed bonuses, buy-outs and severance payments (see 6.7). Variable remuneration will only be delivered to employees if it does not limit the firm’s ability to strengthen its capital base, and it is sustainable according to the financial situation of the firm.

Incentive funding for Front Office Functions is based on their respective financial performances, whereas the Middle and Back Office incentive pools are calculated based on the overall financial performance of the firm, and the degree to which strategic objectives have been achieved through an assessment supported by a balanced scorecard framework. The balanced scorecard framework produces a scorecard multiplier outcome, which the Committee may adjust, in its discretion, where that outcome does not accurately reflect business performance. The Committee did exercise any further discretion to adjust the balanced scorecard outcome for this year. Individual performance is also measured against financial and non-financial factors and supported by the annual performance assessment process. This assessment is based on the philosophy that truly good organisational performance and sustainable positive financial performance is dependent upon several factors, not simply delivery against annual financial targets. The performance assessment process considers financial performance, performance against objectives, and general conduct and competence throughout the year. Any funding for variable remuneration is reviewed to assess whether the proposals are commensurate with the performance of the firm (both financially and non-financially) as well as any identified current and/or future risks.

6.6 Ex-Ante/Ex-Post Risk Adjustment Framework

The firm operates risk adjustment policies to affect bonus pools or individual awards in light of these considerations and other financial and non-financial performance criteria. The Committee considers, to the extent possible, whether any current risks in DCME may crystallise in the near future or the next remuneration period, resulting in a need to adjust current remuneration year incentive pools and individual compensation outcomes for either local employees or expatriate employees. The Reward and Conduct Committee (“RACC”), which is a sub-committee of the Executive Committee, provides a twice-yearly report to the Committee, which considers ex-ante risks relevant to DCME’s evolving business and organisational activities. This includes relevant financial and non-financial risks and supports consideration of whether performance adjustment to incentive pools or individual outcomes is appropriate. Any assessment of materiality is based on judgment and management discretion applied on a case-by-case basis in line with the approach adopted by the firm under the ICARA process. The report considers relevant quantitative and qualitative measures in assessing the impact and probability of current, uncrystallised risks affecting the firm in the next remuneration period. The report also explains and justifies the materiality of those risks and makes recommendations on any adjustments to the relevant incentive pool(s), should they crystallise.



For context, these current and future risks include but are not limited to credit risk, model risk, liquidity risk and capital risk, as well as legal/regulatory compliance risk, operational/conduct risk (including financial crime risk), strategic risk, and reputational risk. During the financial year, the Committee considered whether any risk adjustments were required and determined that no reductions or recoveries were applied.

Where applicable, the firm will ensure that individuals do not profit from a relevant risk event, including taking into consideration the extent to which past incentives were earned as a result of identified failings. Any ex-post risk adjustment will reflect the severity of the relevant event, be sufficiently material and support positive individual behaviours and culture within the firm. Ex-post risk adjustment can be applied to individuals or to groups of employees on a collective basis. Where the misconduct, failings or poor performance, which led to a relevant risk event, occurred primarily in particular business units or divisions, adjustments to remuneration should be weighted towards those areas.

The firm also operates a Malus & Clawback Policy, which supports ex-post risk adjustment by enabling the reduction or cancellation of unvested awards (malus) and, where appropriate, the recovery of vested variable remuneration (clawback). These provisions apply across the employee population (including delegate employees where relevant) and are applied in accordance with the firm's policy, including defined application periods and governance through the relevant committees. The application of malus provisions against unvested variable remuneration will be considered where there is reasonable evidence of employee misconduct or material error, the firm or relevant business line suffers a material downturn in financial performance, or the firm or relevant business line suffers a material failure of risk management. Clawback of vested variable compensation may be applied where there is reasonable evidence of employee misconduct or material error, or the firm or relevant business line suffers a material failure of risk management, including in cases of fraud or other conduct with intent or severe negligence which led to significant losses.

6.7 Other Forms of Variable Remuneration

Guaranteed incentives may be exceptionally used to attract candidates as an incentive to join and will not be provided to current employees. Guarantee periods will be for no longer than 12 months from the commencement of employment. In the event that it is necessary to buy out forfeited deferred compensation as part of the recruitment process ("Buy-Out Award"), the Firm would ensure that recipients would not be subject to more favourable terms than that of their previous employer. These types of awards are offered rarely, and only in the context of recruitment.

In exceptional circumstances, the Firm may provide Retention Awards to selected employees after a defined event or at a specified point in time, such as following major restructuring. Any



Retention Award will be subject to specific performance conditions set on a case-by-case basis and paid only once these conditions are met.

The provision of any variable remuneration will be made in accordance with the Code, including the application of deferrals and payments in instruments, as well as implementing Malus and Clawback provisions against any MRT variable remuneration.

Where termination payments are awarded to an employee who ceases employment, the Firm undertakes to ensure that any such payments reflect performance achieved over time and do not reward failure. Any such awards shall be properly documented, both in terms of the reasons for the payment and the appropriateness of its amount. The Firm may apply clawback to severance payments awarded to MRTs, where appropriate. In most cases, the vesting of a deferred award made to an MRT will not be accelerated following early cessation of employment.

6.8 Deferrals and Payment in Instruments

Where applicable, MRT variable compensation is subject to deferral of at least 40%. The portion of the variable compensation subject to deferral will increase to 60% if the employee's total variable remuneration for the financial year is equal to or more than £500,000, in line with SYSC 19G.6.24R. All deferrals vest over a period of three years on an annual pro-rata basis. This deferral model suits the Firm's short-term business cycle and nature of its business activities; however, the Committee considers the appropriateness of the deferral period and vesting schedule annually alongside other remuneration policies.

Where the provisions of the Code are applied, the award is delivered in equal portions of cash and non-cash instruments, with a majority of the deferred portion being made up of non-cash instruments. The Firm operates a cash-settled Phantom Share Plan ("PSP"), which is linked to the share price of the parent entity, Daiwa Securities Group (TYO: 8601). Phantom Share awards are subject to a retention period of six months, given the cyclical and short-term nature of the Firm's primary business activities, however, employees do not have the option to retain phantom shares beyond six months.

The Firm also operates a Deferred Cash Compensation Plan to manage any deferred cash awarded to high-earning non-MRTs to whom DCME has applied some of the MRT provisions to promote sound risk management and a healthy culture.

6.9 Quantitative Disclosures

All remuneration data is presented in Pounds Sterling (GBP), and in thousands of pounds (£'000s).



In order to not disclose the remuneration of individual MRTs, we have relied upon the exemption detailed in MIFIDPRU 8.6.8R(7)(a)¹ to not report the severance pay or the breakdown of prior year variable for MRTs or Senior Managers required by MIFIDPRU 8.6.8R(5)(b), MIFIDPRU 8.6.8R(5)(c) and MIFIDPRU 8.6.8R(6)(c). This has been highlighted with n/a in the tables below.

MIFIDPRU 8.6.8 (4)	Total	Senior Managers	Other MRTs	All Other Employees
Number of Employees	398	11	19	368
Total Remuneration	60,543	5,619	6,779	48,145
<i>(a) Of which Fixed</i>	45,327	2,886	4,957	37,483
<i>(b) Of which Variable</i>	15,216	2,733	1,822	10,661

MIFIDPRU 8.6.8 (5)	Senior Managers		Other MRTs	
	Number of Staff	Total Value	Number of Staff	Total Value
(a) Total Guaranteed Variable Remuneration	0	0	0	0
(b) Total Severance Pay	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
(c) Largest Severance Paid	n/a			

MIFIDPRU 8.6.8 (6) (a), (b), (c)	Senior Managers	Other MRTs
25/26 Variable Remuneration	2,733	1,822
Of which Cash	1,393	1,382
<i>Non-Deferred</i>	1,230	1,382
<i>Deferred</i>	163	0
Of which Instruments	1,340	440
<i>Non-Deferred</i>	106	88
<i>Deferred</i>	1,235	352
(c) Prior Year Variable Rem. Due to Vest	3,349	404
<i>Of which vested in this year</i>	n/a	n/a
<i>Of which is outstanding</i>	n/a	n/a
<i>Of which was reduced/recovered</i>	n/a	n/a

MIFIDPRU 8.6.8 (6) (d)	Material Risk Takers
Total Number of MRTs	30
<i>Of which De Minimis</i>	19
Provisions Exempted ²	
Total Rem. for De Minimis MRTs	5,491
<i>Of which Fixed</i>	4,496
<i>Of which Variable</i>	995

¹ "a non-SNI MIFIDPRU investment firm must aggregate the information to be disclosed for senior management and other material risk takers, where splitting the information between those two categories would lead to the disclosure of information about one or two people."

² DCME does not operate any discretionary pension benefits, so the provisions of SYSC 19G.6.35R do not apply.



7 Investment Policy (MIFIDPRU 8.7)

In accordance with MIFIDPRU 8.7.6, a firm is only required to disclose information in relation to its investment policy if the following circumstances are applied:

- only in respect of a company whose shares are admitted to trading on a regulated market;
- only where the proportion of voting rights that the MIFIDPRU investment firm directly or indirectly holds in that company is greater than 5% of all voting rights attached to the shares issued by the company; and
- only in respect of shares in that company to which voting rights are attached.

As the firm does not have any company holdings that meet the above criteria, it is not required to disclose any information relating to its investment policy.